



Overview of Workshop

- 1. Purpose of grades
- 2. What can be graded?
- 3. Grade inflation
- 4. Do certain teaching styles generate higher grades? Is that a problem?
- 5. Do answers to above questions fall into coherent philosophies of grading?

Clickers

- > It's a radio device: don't point
- Device flashes green if your answer is registered
- Pray to the gods of tech demos that everything works.
- Try not to fuss too much about question wording





If there were no grades at all at my institution, my students would:

7% Do very little of the assigned work
21% 2. Do some of the assigned work
43% 3. Do same amount of assigned work

29% Do more of assigned work

Topic 1: Using grades as motivators

- Should faculty members use grades specifically as motivators?
- Example:
- Peter Fernald the *Monte Carlo Quiz* Survival Cards

Arguments against motivational grading

- Unfair: Daryl Close: "the idea of punishing a student with a low course grade for excellent performance on grade components, but who skipped class frequently, will seem counterintuitive to many teachers" (366). Using grades to motivate good learning practices is like "punishing the innocent for the greater good" (367).
- Counterproductive: Alfie Kohn: "The more people are rewarded, the more they come to lose interest in whatever had to be done in order to get the reward" (8).

Arguments for Motivational Grading

- Attacking the intrinsic-extrinsic distinction. Nilson: "Does the fact that you get paid for teaching make it less appealing to you" (52)?
- Providing accountability in a world of competing responsibilities.
- Motivating learning centered pedagogy (class participation, group work, blogs, etc).









Which of the following may be			
appropriate as part of a final grade			
(for institution colleagues)?			
100%	Tests and papers		
	-2. Short assignments (reaction		
92%	papers, blog posts)		
100%	3. Behaviors (e.g., participation,		
	attendance)		
69%	Student characteristics (e.g., effort,		
	progress, background)		



Topic 3: Grade Inflation

- Not just increase in grades but an upward shift in grades "without a corresponding increase in student achievement" (Kamber, 46).
- In other words, a paper that would have gotten a B ten years ago now gets an A.
- Harvey Mansfield (Harvard) now gives two grades: an inflated grade (for transcript) and a real grade (for student information)



Topic 4: Grades and Learner-Centered teaching

- > Do certain styles of pedagogy impact grades?
- Is that a problem?"



Does learner-centered teaching produce higher grades

• Examples:

- Building intro course around blog, and including frequency of blog posts as part of grade (Long)
- Bringing in materials from popular culture to illustrate theories (hard to grade, but produces energetic discussions).
- Using rubrics, giving out questions in advance of test, etc.
- Allowing students to decide what percentage of their grade is based on what part of course?
- Do these substitute mechanistic tasks for tasks that can be evaluated?

Which comes closer to your view? Examples above may increase grades, but also improve learning Examples above produce grade inflation Learner-centered teaching does not have anything to do with grade inflation

Topic 5: Are there two philosophies of grading?

- Developing a philosophy of grading.
- Fill out instrument
- Add up total score



The two sides:

- Do they mark out a coherent set of ideas?
- How would you label each side?
- Content-driven grading vs. process-driven grading?
 Achievement-centered vs. learning-learning centered.

More info: Immer@villanova.edu, or www.teachphilosophy101.org Obstacles and Planning Your Lectures and Tests, Papers, Change-of-pace Non-tra Challenges Course Discussion Assignments Exercises Resou Backgro Home -0 Teach .. 101 "One of the most comprehensive, well-researched, and accessible guides for teachers that I have ever seen. Sames Lang, Chronicle of Higher Education. . verview of the site: The random I The crash cost ghights page gives a flavor for some of the topics rise gives you the eight most essential pages, in the ... es (STATE ity, and for Go both dif ..

John Immerwahr Philosophy Villanova University AAPT- August 1, 2010

Preliminary research on attitudes about grading suggests that there is a wide range of opinions about the meaning of grades and grading among our colleagues. But do those differences fall into broader categories? My hypothesis is that there are some underlying philosophical consistencies. To test this hypothesis, please rate yourself (as much as possible) on where you fall between each of the following extremes.

	Type 1	Type 2	
Purpose of	Primarily purpose of grades: communicate	Primary purpose of grades: communicate to	
grades	achievement to external audiences.	students and provide motivation	
1 2 3 4 5			
Grades as	Grades should only be used to evaluate	Appropriate (esp in intro courses) to use grades to	
motivators	achievement, not to motivate behaviors	provide accountability for activities that promote	
		learning	
1 2 3		4 5	
Basis of	Grades should be based on written work	Often appropriate to make class participation,	
grades	(papers and exams) only	reaction papers, and blog posts a component of	
		grades, especially in intro classes	
	1 2 3	4 5	
Relevance of	The ideal would be to grade all student work	It is sometimes appropriate to understand broader	
student	blind.	context and, if necessary adjust grades upward to	
characteristics		reward or compensate for effort, enthusiasm,	
		disadvantage, or progress.	
1 2 3 4 5			
Level of	Approach to grading is independent of level	Motivational and contextual grading more	
course	of course	appropriate for younger students in intro courses	
	1 2 3	4 5	
Seriousness	Grade inflation is real and pernicious	There are many reasons why grades have gone	
of grade		up. Higher grades can also reflect the fact that	
inflation		students or teaching have improved.	
	1 2 3	4 5	
High grades	If every student in the class gets an A, it	If the course objectives are clearly spelled out	
0.0	usually reflects a problem with the teacher	and every student has met them, then every	
	or the course	student should get an A.	
1 2 3 4 5			
Criteria	Criteria for high grades cannot be reduced to	Spelling out course objectives clearly helps	
	mechanistic criteria	students learn more, and should lead to higher	
		grades.	
1 2 3 4 5			
Effort vs.	Giving out exam questions in advance,	Giving students exam questions in advance,	
quality	allowing students to rewrite papers,	allowing students to rewrite papers, encourages	
	substitutes effort for quality	greater learning	
	1 2 3	4 5	

Some articles on grades and grading, including those referenced in the talk. For other material on pedagogy developed by John Immerwahr see: www.teachphilosophy101.org

- Brighouse, Henry. 2008. Grade Inflation and Grade Variation. In *Grade Inflation: Academic Standards in Higher Education*. ed. Lester H. Hunt, 73-91. Albany: New York State University Press.
- Close, Daryl. 2009. Fair Grades. *Teaching Philosophy* 32, (4): 361-398. This essay was the recipient of the 2010 Mark Lenssen Prize for the best article on the teaching of philosophy.
- Credé, Marcus, Sylvia G. Roch, and Urszula M. Kieszczynka. 2010. Class Attendance in College: A Metaanalytic Review of the Relationship of Class Attendance with Grades and Student Characteristics. *Review of Educational Research*, 80, (2): 272-295.
- Davis, Barbara Gross. 2009. Tools for Teaching. 2nd ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Fernald, Peter. 2004. The Monte Carlo Quiz: Encouraging Punctual Completion, and Deep Processing of Assigned Readings. *College Teaching* 52, (3): 95-100.
- Filene, Peter. 2005. *The Joy of Teaching: A Practical Guide for New College Instructors*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Immerwahr, John. Grading Class Discussion. 2008 [cited June 21 2010]. Available from /www.teachphilosophy101.org/Default.aspx?tabid=143.
- Kohn, Alfie. 2008. The Dangerous Myth of Grade Inflation. In *Grade inflation: Academic Standards in Higher Education*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Long, Christopher P. Teaching and Learning in Digital Dialogue. 2010 [cited June 21 2010]. Available from www.personal.psu.edu/cpl2/blogs/cplportfolio/2010/02/teaching-and-learning-in-digit.html.
- Milton, Ohmer, Howard R. Pollio, and James A. Eison. 1986. *Making Sense of College Grades*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Nathan, Rebekah (pseudonym). 2005. *My Freshman Year: What a Professor Learned by Becoming a Freshman.* Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Nilson, Linda B. 2010. *Teaching at its Best: A Research-based Resource for College Instructors*. 3rd ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Toom, Andrei. 1993. A Russian Teacher in America. Journal of Mathematical Behavior 12: 117-139.